IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Cincinnati Insurance Company,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 4:09-cv-01379-TLW-TER
T IMINOTI,)
V.)
Crossmann Communities of North)
Carolina, Inc.; Crossmann)
Communities, Inc.; Beazer Homes)
Investment Corporation; and True)
Blue Golf & Racquet Resort	,)
Homeowners' Association, Inc.,)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,)
Defendants.)
Crossmann Communities of North	
Carolina, Inc., Crossmann)
Communities, Inc., and Beazer)
Homes Investment Corporation,)
Tiones investment corporation,)
Third-Party Plaintiffs,))
)
v.)
Horlovovilla Mutual Incurance)
Harleysville Mutual Insurance	
Company,)
Third-Party Defendant.)
Tillid-Tarty Defendant.)
Harleysville Mutual Insurance)
Company,)
Company,)
Fourth-Party Plaintiff,)
Tourin-Tarty Trainini,)
V.)
v.)
Indiana Insurance Company,)
Massachusetts Bay Insurance)
Company, Regent Insurance)
Company, Illinois Union Insurance)
Company, Liberty Mutual Insurance)
Company, Liberty Mutual Hisurance	J

4:09-cv-01379-RBH Date Filed 03/25/11 Entry Number 116 Page 2 of 2

Company, Zurich American

Insurance Company,

Fourth-Party Defendants.

)

ORDER

Currently before this Court is the "Motion to Dismiss Third-Party Plaintiff Harleysville Mutual Insurance Company's Fourth-Party Complaint or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment," filed by Fourth-Party Defendant Massachusetts Bay Insurance Company and the "Fourth Party Defendant Indiana Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss and for Summary Judgment." (Docs. # 67 and 78). The South Carolina Supreme Court has issued an Opinion in a related matter concerning parties currently before this Court. <u>Crossman Communities of North Carolina, Inc. v. Harleysville Mut. Ins. Co.</u>, --- S.E.2d ----, 2011 WL 93716 (S.C. January 7, 2011). In light of this Opinion, this Court moots the pending motions, (Docs. # 67 and 78), with the parties having a right to re-file, if they so choose, after the South Carolina Supreme Court issues a decision regarding any pending motions to reconsider its decision in the above-cited case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

March 25, 2011 Florence, South Carolina